

INVERSE SCATTERING ON THE LINE FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH MIURA POTENTIALS, II. DIFFERENT RICCATI REPRESENTATIVES

ROSTYSLAV O. HRYNIV, YAROSLAV V. MYKYTYUK, AND PETER A. PERRY

ABSTRACT. This is the second in a series of papers on scattering theory for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with Miura potentials admitting a Riccati representation of the form $q = u' + u^2$ for some $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We consider potentials for which there exist ‘left’ and ‘right’ Riccati representatives with prescribed integrability on half-lines. This class includes all Faddeev–Marchenko potentials in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)dx)$ generating positive Schrödinger operators as well as many distributional potentials with Dirac delta-functions and Coulomb-like singularities. We completely describe the corresponding set of reflection coefficients r and justify the algorithm reconstructing q from r .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Extremal solutions and Riccati representatives	6
3. Direct scattering	13
3.1. Jost solutions	13
3.2. Representation formulas for the scattering coefficients	18
3.3. Reconstruction of the transmission coefficient	20
4. The inverse problem	22
4.1. Reconstruction maps	23
4.2. Jost solutions	25
4.3. Consistency of the reconstruction	28
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the second in a series of papers on inverse scattering for the Schrödinger operators

$$S := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$$

on the line with highly singular potentials q . Our eventual goal is to study the KdV equation with rough initial data using the method of inverse scattering. In this paper, we will define a class of highly singular potentials for which the direct scattering

Date: 02 October 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 34L25, Secondary: 34L40, 47L10, 81U40.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger operators, inverse scattering, Miura potentials, distributional potentials.

map is well-defined and invertible, and obtain a complete characterization of the reflection coefficients that arise. An important aspect of our work is the connection between inverse scattering for the Schrödinger equation and inverse scattering for the ZS-AKNS system, obtained through the Riccati representation for singular potentials that we explain below.

In a separate paper [14], we will use these results together with the Riemann–Hilbert formulation of the inverse scattering problem for the ZS-AKNS system (see especially Zhou [29]) to obtain mapping properties of the scattering transform between weighted Sobolev spaces of potentials and corresponding weighted Sobolev spaces of reflection coefficients, in the spirit of [29]. We will then use these fine mapping properties of the scattering transform to study the KdV flow.

We consider real-valued potentials $q \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ having the property that the quadratic form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{s}(\varphi) = \int |\varphi'(x)|^2 dx + \langle q, |\varphi|^2 \rangle$$

defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is nonnegative and obeying some additional restrictions imposed in order to construct a meaningful scattering theory. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the dual pairing of $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

As shown in [15], any potential of the above type admits a Riccati representation of the form

$$(1.2) \quad q = u' + u^2$$

for a real-valued function $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Such a potential q is called a *Miura potential*, and the nonlinear map defined by (1.2) is called the *Miura map* [23]. We refer the reader to Appendix C of [15] for a discussion of related literature on the Miura map and properties of positive solutions to the Schrödinger equation.

The Riccati representation of a Miura potential is generally not unique. Any Riccati representative u gives rise to a strictly positive distributional solution y of the zero-energy Schrödinger equation $-y'' + qy = 0$ via

$$y(x) = \exp \left(\int_0^x u(s) ds \right)$$

and, conversely, any positive solution $y \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ gives rise to a Riccati representative $u(x) = y'(x)/y(x)$. Thus, the set of Riccati representatives for a given distribution potential q is parameterized by normalized positive solutions to the zero-energy Schrödinger equation. The set of such solutions y , normalized so that $y(0) = 1$, is denoted $\text{Pos}(q)$. There are extremal solutions $y_\pm$ in $\text{Pos}(q)$ with the properties that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{y_+^2(s)} = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds}{y_-^2(s)} = +\infty,$$

and any $y \in \text{Pos}(q)$ takes the form $y = \theta y_+ + (1 - \theta)y_-$ for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$. The corresponding extremal Riccati representatives $u_\pm = (\log y_\pm)'$ belong to $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$; we will assume in addition that $u_\pm$ are in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that u_+ is integrable at $+\infty$ and u_- is integrable at $-\infty$. The set of all potentials with the above properties is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{q = \bar{q} \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) : \exists u_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^\pm) \text{ s.t. } q = u'_+ + u_+^2 = u'_- + u_-^2\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{Q}$ contains all real-valued potentials of Faddeev–Marchenko class (i.e., potentials belonging to $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)dx)$) generating non-negative Schrödinger operators as well as many singular potentials (e.g., with Dirac delta-functions and Coulomb-like singularities), see Section 2. To every $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there corresponds a well defined non-negative Schrödinger operator, and our main aim is to show that the classic scattering theory known for Faddeev–Marchenko potentials can be extended to the whole set $\mathcal{Q}$.

Within $\mathcal{Q}$ there is a dichotomy between “generic” potentials for which $y_+ \neq y_-$ and “exceptional” potentials for which $y_+ = y_-$. This corresponds to the well-known dichotomy for regular potentials (i.e., measurable real-valued functions q with $\int (1 + x^2)|q(x)|dx < \infty$) between those q for which the reflection coefficient r satisfies $r(0) = -1$ (the “generic” case) and those for which $|r(0)| < 1$ (the “exceptional” case): see for example [4], section 2.3, Theorem 1 (pp. 146–147) and Remark 9 (pp. 152–153). Note that this dichotomy is invariant under the KdV flow on $\mathcal{Q} \cap S(\mathbb{R})$, since, under the KdV flow $t \mapsto q(\cdot, t)$, the reflection coefficient is given by $r(k, t) = \exp(8ik^3t)r_0(k)$.

If we write $v(x) := u_-(x) - u_+(x)$, it is easy to see that v is actually a continuous function, that v is either identically zero or everywhere nonvanishing, and that $v(0) > 0$ for the “generic” potentials, while $v(0) = 0$ for the exceptional ones (see Section 2 and equation (2.4)). For this reason we will denote the subset of “generic” Miura potentials by $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$, and the subset of “exceptional” potentials by $\mathcal{Q}_0$. The corresponding sets of reflection coefficients (defined more precisely below) will be denoted $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$. A crucial observation is that a potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is uniquely characterized by the data

$$(1.3) \quad (u_+|_{(0,\infty)}, u_-|_{(-\infty,0)}, v(0)),$$

see Section 2 and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. If we set $X_0^+ = L^1(0, \infty) \cap L^2(0, \infty)$ and $X_0^- = L^1(-\infty, 0) \cap L^2(-\infty, 0)$, we can then topologize $\mathcal{Q}$ as $X_0^+ \times X_0^- \times [0, \infty)$.

In the first paper [11] of this series (referred to as Paper I in what follows), we studied the case of “exceptional” potentials and constructed the scattering and inverse scattering maps as continuous bijections between $\mathcal{Q}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$. The goal of this paper is to study the generic case and construct the direct and inverse scattering maps as continuous bijections between $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$. The primary technical challenge is to give a workable characterization of the low-energy asymptotic behavior of the reflection and transmission coefficients for the class of singular potentials under study (see Section 3.2 below) and show that this characterization is sufficient to prove that the standard formulas from the Gelfand–Levitan–Marchenko theory carry through and give a correct reconstruction (see Section 4.3 below). As a by-product, we show that the reflection coefficients corresponding to $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ are continuous on the whole line. For real-valued potentials in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)dx)$, Marchenko [21, Ch. 3.5] established this property generically and conjectured it for the exceptional case; then Deift and Trubowitz [4] proved the continuity of r for a subset of potentials $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + x^2)dx)$ (see also [21, Problem 3.5.3]), and finally Guseinov [10] and independently Klaus [16] justified the above conjecture for exceptional Faddeev–Marchenko potentials.

In Paper I, we used the correspondence between the Schrödinger and ZS-AKNS equations together with well-known inverse theory for the ZS-AKNS system to obtain properties of Jost solutions, characterization of the transmission and reflection

coefficients, and a reconstruction algorithm. In the case considered there, a single Riccati representative uniquely parameterizes the potential and it suffices to study scattering for a single ZS-AKNS system.

In the present paper, we use the extremal Riccati representatives u_+ and u_- for a potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ to construct “right” and “left” ZS-AKNS systems which yield the right and left Jost solutions, and “right” and “left” reconstruction formulas. Namely, for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with non-negative imaginary part, one can construct Jost solutions $f_{\pm}(x, k)$ of the Schrödinger equation

$$-y'' + qy = k^2 y$$

with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} |f_{\pm}(x, k) - e^{\pm ikx}| = 0$$

(see §3.1 for detailed discussion). If k is real and nonzero, then the functions

$$f_+(x, k), f_+(x, -k)$$

and

$$f_-(x, k), f_-(x, -k)$$

are linearly independent solutions of the above Schrödinger equation, so that we may define coefficients $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ by the relation

$$(1.4) \quad f_+(x, k) = a(k)f_-(x, -k) + b(k)f_-(x, k),$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(1.5) \quad f_-(x, k) = a(k)f_+(x, -k) - b(-k)f_+(x, k).$$

The associated reflection and transmission coefficients are, as usual, given by

$$(1.6) \quad r_+(k) = -\frac{b(-k)}{a(k)},$$

$$(1.7) \quad r_-(k) = \frac{b(k)}{a(k)},$$

$$(1.8) \quad t(k) = \frac{1}{a(k)}.$$

To characterize the reflection coefficients corresponding to potentials in $\mathcal{Q}$, we introduce the space $X := L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|f\|_X = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^2}$ and denote by $\widehat{X}$ the set of Fourier transforms $\widehat{f}$ of functions in X , with $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\widehat{X}} := \|f\|_X$. Clearly, $\widehat{X}$ consists of continuous functions. Introduce now the set

$$\mathcal{R} := \{r \in \widehat{X} : r(-k) = \overline{r(k)}, |r(k)| < 1 \text{ for } k \neq 0\}$$

and its subsets

$$\mathcal{R}_0 := \{r \in \mathcal{R} : |r(0)| < 1\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{>0} := \{r \in \mathcal{R} : r(0) = -1, \tilde{r}(k) := (1 - |r(k)|^2)/k^2 \in \widehat{X}, \tilde{r}(0) > 0\}.$$

The topology in $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is inherited from that of $\widehat{X}$. The set $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$ becomes a metric space with the metric defined by the distance

$$d(r_1, r_2) := \|r_1 - r_2\|_{\widehat{X}} + \|\tilde{r}_1 - \tilde{r}_2\|_{\widehat{X}}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}_{>0} \cup \mathcal{R}_0$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{R}$ and that $\mathcal{R}_0$ is open in $\mathcal{R}$ while $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$ is neither open nor closed in $\mathcal{R}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_+$ and $\mathcal{S}_-$ the direct scattering maps that send a potential q in $\mathcal{Q}$ into the reflection coefficients r_+ and r_- , respectively. For the “exceptional” case studied in Paper I, it was proved that $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ are homeomorphisms between $\mathcal{Q}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$. Here we shall study the “generic” case, and our main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *The direct scattering maps $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ are homeomorphisms between $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$.*

We prove Theorem 1.1 in two steps. First, we construct the direct scattering maps $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ and study their properties (see Theorem 3.1). We then construct the inverse maps $\mathcal{S}_\pm^{-1}$ in Theorem 4.1 and give an explicit reconstruction algorithm.

In Paper III of this series [13], we will show how to add bound states to potentials $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and thereby complete our analysis of the direct and inverse scattering maps for singular potentials. In a separate paper [14], we study the direct and inverse scattering maps on subspaces of $\mathcal{Q}$ with extremal Riccati representatives belonging to weighted Sobolev spaces, in the spirit of Zhou [29]. This will allow us to give a complete characterization of potentials with reflection coefficients belonging to weighted Sobolev spaces $H^{j,k}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., reflection coefficients with j distributional derivatives in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and with $s^k r(s) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. These spaces play an important role in the study of the KdV equation and other equations in the KdV hierarchy since, for example, the KdV flow preserves reflection coefficients in the space $H^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. In [14] we will also construct solutions of the KdV equation with less regular initial data by the inverse scattering method.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In §2, we review the basic facts about Riccati representatives and the Riccati representation (1.3) and give several examples of potentials in $\mathcal{Q}$. In §3 we construct the Jost solutions, obtain representation formulas for the transmission and reflection coefficients, and show that all of the scattering data are determined by either the left or the right reflection coefficient alone. In §4, we give reconstruction formulas for the Riccati representation (1.3) given a single reflection coefficient, and prove consistency of the reconstruction formulas.

Notation. In what follows, $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^- = (-\infty, 0)$. Given a Miura potential $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, we shall always denote by $u_\pm$ the Riccati representatives corresponding to extremal solutions $y_\pm$ of the equation $-y'' + qy = 0$ and by $w_\pm$ the restrictions of $u_\pm$ to $\mathbb{R}^\pm$.

Next, $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ will stand for the linear space of 2×2 matrices with complex entries and $|\cdot|$ will denote the Euclidean norm for vectors and matrices, while $\|\cdot\|$ will be used for norms on various function spaces.

We denote by X the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by X^+ the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and by X^- the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^-)$. Thus $X^\pm$ are spaces of functions on the real line with prescribed integrability at $\pm\infty$. For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we also set

$$\begin{aligned} X_c^+ &:= L^1(c, \infty) \cap L^2(c, \infty), \\ X_c^- &:= L^1(-\infty, c) \cap L^2(-\infty, c). \end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to use a non-standard normalization of the Fourier transform $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{F}f$ of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, viz.

$$(1.9) \quad \widehat{f}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(2i\xi x) f(x) dx.$$

We will denote by $\widehat{X}$ the Banach algebra of functions whose Fourier transforms lie in X ; $\widehat{X}$ is a subalgebra of the classical Wiener algebra. The unital extension of $\widehat{X}$ is a Banach algebra consisting of all functions of the form $c + \widehat{f}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in X$ and is denoted by $1 + \widehat{X}$. An element a of $1 + \widehat{X}$ is invertible there if and only if a does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}$ and does not tend to zero at infinity (cf. Appendix of Paper I).

Further, for every $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we denote by $\tilde{r}$ the element of $\widehat{X}$ given by

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{r}(k) := (1 - |r(k)|^2)/k^2.$$

It follows from the definition of the set $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$ that the function

$$(1 - |r(k)|^2) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} = 1 - r(k)r(-k) + \tilde{r}(k)$$

does not vanish on the real line, belongs to $1 + \widehat{X}$ and thus is an invertible element there.

Finally, $H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Hardy space of functions F on the upper half-plane with

$$\|F\|_{H_+^2(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{y>0} \|F(\cdot + iy)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

finite. These functions are determined by their boundary values f on $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e., by the limits of $F(\cdot + iy)$ as $y \rightarrow 0+$ in the topology of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$) and $\|F\|_{H_+^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. The Hardy space $H_-^2(\mathbb{R})$ for the lower half-plane is defined analogously. Clearly, $H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $H_-^2(\mathbb{R})$) consists of Fourier transforms of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ supported on the positive half-line $\mathbb{R}^+$ (resp., on the negative half-line $\mathbb{R}^-$), so that $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = H_-^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the Cauchy integral operator

$$(\mathcal{C}f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s - z} f(s) ds, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

and by $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}$ the operators

$$(1.11) \quad (\mathcal{C}_{\pm}f)(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (\mathcal{C}f)(s \pm i\varepsilon), \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the limit is taken in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The operators $\mathcal{C}_+$ and $-\mathcal{C}_-$ are Riesz orthogonal projections onto $H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H_-^2(\mathbb{R})$ respectively, and $\mathcal{C}_+ - \mathcal{C}_- = I$ as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We have the formulas

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\pm}f)(s) = \pm \mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{\pm} \mathcal{F} f,$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the Fourier transform (1.9) and χ_+ (resp. χ_-) is the indicator function of $\mathbb{R}^+$ (resp. of $\mathbb{R}^-$), implying that $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}$ are continuous operators in $\widehat{X}$.

2. EXTREMAL SOLUTIONS AND RICCATI REPRESENTATIVES

Here we review some results from [15] (see especially Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 5.1 there) connecting positive zero-energy solutions and Riccati representatives, and then justify the representation (1.3) for potentials $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Suppose that $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a real-valued distribution and define the quadratic form

$$\mathfrak{s}(\varphi) = \int |\varphi'(x)|^2 dx + \langle q, |\varphi|^2 \rangle$$

for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the dual pairing between $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $H_{\text{comp}}^1(\mathbb{R})$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{s}(\varphi, \psi)$ the associated sesquilinear form. Set

$$\lambda_0(q) = \inf \{ \mathfrak{s}(\varphi) : \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \|\varphi\| = 1 \}.$$

If $\lambda_0(q) \geq 0$, then the space

$$\text{Pos}(q) = \{ y \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}) : y(0) = 1, y > 0, \mathfrak{s}(\varphi, y) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

is nonempty and consists of normalized, positive distributional solutions to the zero-energy Schrödinger equation $-y'' + qy = 0$. Given any $y_0 \in \text{Pos}(q)$, the function

$$y_1(x) = y_0(x) \left(1 + c_1 \int_0^x \frac{ds}{y_0^2(s)} \right)$$

belongs to $\text{Pos}(q)$ whenever

$$0 \leq c_1 \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds}{y_0^2(s)} \right)^{-1},$$

while

$$y_2(x) = y_0(x) \left(1 + c_2 \int_x^0 \frac{ds}{y_0^2(s)} \right)$$

belongs to $\text{Pos}(q)$ whenever

$$0 \leq c_2 \leq \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{y_0^2(s)} \right)^{-1}.$$

There exist unique extremal elements $y_\pm$ of $\text{Pos}(q)$, characterized respectively by

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{y_+^2(s)} = +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds}{y_-^2(s)} = +\infty,$$

so that any $y \in \text{Pos}(q)$ is written as $y = \theta y_+ + (1 - \theta) y_-$ for a unique $\theta \in [0, 1]$. If we set

$$m_+ = \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{ds}{y_+^2(s)} \right)^{-1},$$

$$m_- = \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{y_-^2(s)} \right)^{-1}$$

(with $m_\pm = 0$ if the corresponding integral diverges), it is not difficult to show that

$$(2.1) \quad y_-(x) = y_+(x) \left(1 + m_+ \int_0^x \frac{ds}{y_+^2(s)} \right),$$

$$(2.2) \quad y_+(x) = y_-(x) \left(1 + m_- \int_x^0 \frac{ds}{y_-^2(s)} \right).$$

The ratio y_-/y_+ is continuously differentiable; computing its logarithmic derivative at $x = 0$ and using the relations (2.1)–(2.1) and $y_\pm(0) = 1$, we find that

$$(2.3) \quad m_+ = y'_-(0) - y'_+(0) = m_-.$$

Henceforth we set $m_+ = m_- =: m$. If $m = 0$, then $y_+ = y_-$, but otherwise the extremal solutions are distinct. The following simple lemma is a direct consequence of the observations above.

Lemma 2.1. *The solutions $y_\pm$ are uniquely determined by the data*

$$(y_+|_{\mathbb{R}^+}, y_-|_{\mathbb{R}^-}, m).$$

The logarithmic derivatives $u_\pm := y'_\pm/y_\pm$ belong to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and determine Riccati representatives for q . The function

$$v(x) = u_-(x) - u_+(x)$$

satisfies $v' = -(u_+ + u_-)v$ and thus is equal to

$$(2.4) \quad v(x) = v(0) \exp\left\{-\int_0^x [u_+(s) + u_-(s)] ds\right\}.$$

Therefore v is Hölder continuous of order $\frac{1}{2}$. If we recall that $v(0) = m$, this shows that v is either identically zero or strictly positive, and suggests an alternative representation for q . Define $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(2.5) \quad u(x) = \begin{cases} u_+(x), & x > 0, \\ u_-(x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $q \in H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\lambda_0(q) \geq 0$, let y_+ and y_- be the extremal positive solutions for q , and let $u_\pm = y'_\pm/y_\pm$. Also, define u by (2.5) and set $v = u_- - u_+$. Then*

$$q = u' + u^2 + v(0)\delta_0$$

as distributions in $H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, where δ_0 is the Dirac δ -distribution supported at $x = 0$.

Proof. Let $q_* = u' + u^2$. Then $q - q_*$ is a distribution in $H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ with support at $x = 0$, hence a tempered distribution of the form $\alpha\delta_0$ by the regularity theorem for tempered distributions. To evaluate α we test with a function of the form $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$ where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ vanishes outside the interval $[-1, 1]$ and satisfies $\varphi(0) = 1$. We compute (using $q = u'_+ + u^2_+$)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \langle q - q_*, \varphi_\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 v(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 [u^2_+(x) - u^2_-(x)] \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

The second right-hand term vanishes as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ since u_+ and u_- belong to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. In the first term we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 v(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^0 v(0) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 [v(x) - v(0)] \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx.$$

The first right-hand term gives $v(0)$. Using Hölder continuity of v we estimate the second right-hand term as follows:

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^0 [v(x) - v(0)] \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx \right| \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-\varepsilon}^0 |x|^{1/2} dx = C_2 \varepsilon^{1/2},$$

with some positive constants C_1 and C_2 . Thus, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, the second right-hand term vanishes, and $\alpha = v(0)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 says that a real-valued distribution $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\lambda_0(q) \geq 0$ is uniquely determined by the data

$$(u_+|_{\mathbb{R}^+}, u_-|_{\mathbb{R}^-}, v(0)).$$

It turns out that the restrictions $u_+|_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $u_-|_{\mathbb{R}^-}$ of the extremal Riccati representatives and the value $v(0)$ are independent coordinates in $\mathcal{Q}$. Namely, the following statement holds true.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume w_+ and w_- are real-valued functions in X_0^+ and X_0^- respectively and that $\alpha \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique distribution $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ whose extremal Riccati representatives $u_{\pm}$ and $v = u_- - u_+$ satisfy*

$$(u_+|_{\mathbb{R}^+}, u_-|_{\mathbb{R}^-}, v(0)) = (w_+, w_-, \alpha).$$

Proof. Uniqueness of q follows from Lemma 2.2. To prove existence, we show how to construct y_+ and y_- which are zero-energy extremal positive solutions associated to a single distribution q looked for. We set

$$y_+(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x w_+(s) ds\right)$$

for $x > 0$,

$$y_-(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x w_-(s) ds\right)$$

for $x < 0$, extend y_+ to $\mathbb{R}^-$ using (2.2) with $m_- = \alpha$, and extend y_- to $\mathbb{R}^+$ using (2.1) with $m_+ = \alpha$. We then set $u_+ := y'_+/y_+$ and $u_- := y'_-/y_-$, and compute that

$$u_+(x) = \begin{cases} w_-(x) - \alpha y_-^{-2}(x) / \left(1 + \alpha \int_x^0 y_-^{-2}(s) ds\right), & x < 0, \\ w_+(x), & x > 0, \end{cases}$$

with a similar expression for u_- . In particular, it follows that $v := u_- - u_+$ is a continuous function with $v(0) = \alpha$. Since $w_{\pm}$ belong to $X_0^{\pm}$, we see that $u_+ \in X_0^+$ and that y_- tends to a nonzero limit as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus u_+ belongs also to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^-)$, i.e., $u_+ \in X^+$. Similar arguments show that $u_- \in X^-$.

Set $q_{\pm} := u'_{\pm} + u_{\pm}^2$; then straightforward calculations show that the distributions q_+ and q_- coincide outside the origin; namely, in the distributional sense, they are equal to $w'_- + w_-^2$ for $x < 0$ and to $w'_+ + w_+^2$ for $x > 0$. The difference $q_+ - q_-$ is a distribution belonging to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and supported at $x = 0$, and thus must be of the form $\beta \delta_0$. Taking φ_{ε} as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we compute

$$\beta = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left(\int v(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) dx + \int \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) [u_+^2(x) - u_-^2(x)] dx \right).$$

The second right-hand term converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and the first right-hand term is given by

$$\int v(0) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) dx + \int [v(x) - v(0)] \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) dx.$$

The first term here is zero and the second one can be estimated as before to prove that $\beta = 0$, as claimed.

This shows that u_+ and u_- represent the same distribution q , which thus belongs to $\mathcal{Q}$. By construction, $y_{\pm}$ are extremal positive solutions of the equation $-y'' + qy = 0$, and $u_{\pm}$ are the corresponding extremal Riccati representatives with prescribed restrictions $w_{\pm} = u_{\pm}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\pm}}$ and the value of $v(0)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

In what follows we will use the Riccati representation for elements of $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$, and we will topologize $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ by the topology of $X_0^+ \times X_0^- \times \mathbb{R}^+$ on Riccati representations. In Paper I, we topologized $\mathcal{Q}_0$ by X since $v(0) = 0$ and $u_+ = u_-$. One should think of those $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $v(0) = 0$ as lying “at infinity” in the topology of $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$.

If $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ corresponds to a triple $(w_+, w_-, v(0)) \in X^+ \times X^- \times [0, \infty)$, then the quadratic form $\mathfrak{s}$ is closed on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ [12] and the corresponding Schrödinger operator S can be written as (cf. [15])

$$S = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - w \right)^* \left(\frac{d}{dx} - w \right) + v(0)\delta_0,$$

where w is a function in X whose restrictions onto $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^-$ coincide with w_+ and w_- respectively. Therefore S is indeed a non-negative operator.

We shall need the following property of non-negative Schrödinger operators.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $q \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\lambda_0(q) \geq 0$ and the Jost solutions $f_{\pm}(\cdot, 0)$ exist. Then $f_{\pm}(\cdot, 0)$ are strictly positive on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. We discuss only the right Jost solution $f_+(\cdot, 0)$, as the proof for $f_-(\cdot, 0)$ is completely analogous.

Since $f_+(x, 0)$ tends to 1 at $+\infty$, it remains within the interval $(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ for all x greater than some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The function

$$g(x) = f_+(x, 0) \int_{x_0}^x \frac{ds}{f_+^2(s, 0)}$$

is a solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = 0$ on (x_0, ∞) that is linearly independent of $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ and obeys there the bound $g(x) \geq (x - x_0)/8$. It follows that any element of $\text{Pos}(q)$ is either a multiple of $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ or grows at infinity at least linearly. Since the extremal solution y_+ cannot have such a growth at infinity, we conclude that it must be a multiple of the Jost solution $f_+(\cdot, 0)$, and thus the latter is positive everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. Let as usual $u_{\pm}$ be the extremal Riccati representatives for a $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and let $w_{\pm}$ be their respective restrictions to $\mathbb{R}^{\pm}$. To show that a quantity depends continuously on $(w_+, w_-, v(0))$, it will suffice to show that the same quantity depends continuously on the restrictions of u_+ to a half-line (a, ∞) , and of u_- to a half-line $(-\infty, b)$ in the topology of $X_a^+ \times X_b^-$ for some $a < 0$ and $b > 0$. The reason is that these restrictions determine $v(0)$ uniquely and continuously.

We conclude this section with several examples of potentials in $\mathcal{Q}$. The first shows that $\mathcal{Q}$ contains all Faddeev–Marchenko potentials generating non-negative Schrödinger operator S and the other demonstrate that potentials in $\mathcal{Q}$ might have local singularities typical for H_{loc}^{-1} —e.g., of Dirac delta-function type or Coulomb type.

Example 2.6. Assume that $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)dx)$ is a real-valued function of Faddeev–Marchenko class for which the corresponding Schrödinger operator S is non-negative. Denote by $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ and $f_-(\cdot, 0)$ the Jost zero-energy solutions for S ; then $f_{\pm}(x, 0) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Since S is non-negative and $q \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, the functions $f_{\pm}(\cdot, 0)$ do not vanish on $\mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 2.4.

It follows that $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ is bounded from above and bounded away from zero on the half-lines (c, ∞) for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $y_+ = f_+(\cdot, 0)/f_+(0, 0)$ is the

positive extremal solution corresponding to the potential q , i.e.,

$$-y_+''(x) + q(x)y_+(x) = 0.$$

Since $f_+'(x, 0)$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ by the classical theory, we conclude that

$$y_+'(x) = - \int_x^\infty q(t)y_+(t) dt$$

is bounded on every half-line (c, ∞) . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |y_+'(x)| dx &\leq \sup_{x \geq 0} |y_+(x)| \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty |q(t)| dt dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \geq 0} |y_+(x)| \int_0^\infty t|q(t)| dt < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that y_+' belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and, in view of its boundedness, to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

We now conclude that the corresponding “right” extremal Riccati representative $u_+ := y_+' / y_+$ belongs to X_0^+ on the positive half-line. Analogous arguments show that the restriction of similarly constructed “left” extremal Riccati representative u_- onto $\mathbb{R}^-$ belongs to X_0^- . Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 now imply that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Example 2.7 ([11, Example 1.6]). Let u be an even function that for $x > 0$ equals $x^{-\alpha} \sin x^\beta$. Assume that $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > \alpha + 1$. Then u belongs to X and the corresponding Miura potential $q = u' + u^2$ is of the form

$$q(x) = \beta \operatorname{sign}(x) |x|^{\beta-\alpha-1} \cos |x|^\beta + \tilde{q}(x)$$

for some bounded function $\tilde{q}$. Thus q is unbounded and oscillatory but nevertheless belongs to $\mathcal{Q}$.

Example 2.8. Set $q = \alpha \delta_0$, with $\alpha > 0$ and with δ_0 denoting the Dirac delta-function supported at $x = 0$. Recalling the definition of the corresponding Schrödinger operator [1], we conclude that the extremal solutions $y_\pm$ are different and equal

$$y_+(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 1 - \alpha x, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad y_-(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + \alpha x, & x > 0 \\ 1, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

respectively (see also [18], [15, Appendix A], and [11, Example 1.6]). The corresponding extremal Riccati representations are found to be

$$u_+(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0 \\ \frac{-\alpha}{1 - \alpha x}, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad u_-(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha x}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

respectively. Clearly, we have $u_\pm \in X^\pm$, so that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Example 2.9 ([11, Example 1.5]). Assume that $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $(-1, 1)$. Take $u(x) = \alpha \phi(x) \log |x|$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $u \in X$; moreover, since the distributional derivative of $\log |x|$ is the distribution P.v. $1/x$, the corresponding Miura potential $q = u' + u^2$ is smooth outside the origin and has there a Coulomb-type singularity. See e.g. [3, 17, 7] and the references therein for discussion and rigorous treatment of Schrödinger operators with Coulomb potentials.

Example 2.10. Potentials in $\mathcal{Q}$ might have local singularities typical for $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Namely, take any real-valued distribution $q \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ of compact support, for which the corresponding Schrödinger operator S is non-negative. We then claim that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Indeed, any $q \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ of compact support can be represented as Q' for a real-valued $Q \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ that vanishes to the right of $\text{supp } q$ and is constant to the left of $\text{supp } q$. The equation $-y'' + qy = 0$ should now be interpreted as the first-order system

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 1 \\ -Q^2 & -Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $y_1 := y$ and $y_2 := y' - Qy$. Since Q belongs locally to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and every complex numbers c_1 and c_2 the above system has a unique global absolutely continuous solution assuming the prescribed values $y_1(x_0) = c_1$ and $y_2(x_0) = c_2$ at the point $x = x_0$.

In particular, the solution of $-y'' + qy = 0$ that is identically 1 to the right of $\text{supp } q$ admits a unique continuation to the whole line thus giving the Jost solution $f_+(\cdot, 0)$. Since the operator S is non-negative, by Lemma 2.4 $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ never vanishes on the real line. Moreover, $f_+(\cdot, 0)$ is absolutely continuous, $f'_+(\cdot, 0) = y_2 + Qy_1$ belongs locally to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and thus the corresponding extremal Riccati representative $u_+ := f'_+(\cdot, 0)/f_+(\cdot, 0)$ belongs to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ as well. Since, moreover, $u_+ = 0$ to the right of $\text{supp } q$, we conclude that the restriction of u_+ onto $\mathbb{R}^+$ belongs to X_0^+ .

Similar constructions and arguments give the “left” extremal Riccati representative u_- of q whose restriction onto $\mathbb{R}^-$ belongs to X_0^- . By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we conclude that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

The above reasoning can be adapted to a more general situation as follows.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that $q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}$ and that q_1 is a real-valued distribution in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support such that the Schrödinger operator*

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_0 + q_1$$

is nonnegative. Then $q_0 + q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. As explained in Example 2.10, for $q \in H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ every local distributional solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = 0$ can be continued to the whole line and belongs to $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Since the perturbation q_1 is of compact support, the “right” Jost solution at zero energy for the Schrödinger operator with potential $q_0 + q_1$ coincides with that for the unperturbed operator (i.e., with potential q_0) to the right of the support of q_1 . Therefore the “right” extremal Riccati representatives for q_0 and $q_0 + q_1$ (equal to the logarithmic derivatives of these Jost solutions) coincide for large x . Since they belong locally to L^2 , we conclude that the restriction of the “right” extremal Riccati representative for $q_0 + q_1$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$ belongs to X_0^+ .

Similarly, the restriction of the “left” extremal Riccati representative for $q_0 + q_1$ to $\mathbb{R}^-$ belongs to X_0^- , and thus $q_0 + q_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. $\square$

For instance, assume that α_j and β_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, are non-negative numbers and that $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$. Take $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and an arbitrary real-valued $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

and set $u_j(x) := \phi(x - x_j) \log |x - x_j|$; then the potential

$$q + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \delta_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j (u'_j + u_j^2)$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{Q}$. Note that any such potential has a much simpler representation as $w' + w^2 + \alpha \delta_0$ for some real-valued $w \in X$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.

3. DIRECT SCATTERING

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ with Riccati representation $(w_+, w_-, v(0))$. Then the reflection coefficients $r_{\pm}$ are well defined and belong to $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Moreover, the maps*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\pm} : (w_+, w_-, v(0)) \mapsto r_{\pm}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad (w_+, w_-, v(0)) \mapsto \tilde{r}_{\pm},$$

with $\tilde{r}_{\pm}$ as in (1.10), are continuous from $X_0^+ \times X_0^- \times \mathbb{R}^+$ to $\widehat{X}$. The maps $\mathcal{S}_{\pm}$ are one-to-one.

In §3.1 we review the construction of Jost solutions $f_{\pm}(\cdot, k)$ for potentials $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and of the coefficients $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ that relate the Jost solutions $f_{\pm}(\cdot, k)$. We use the representation formulas for $f_{\pm}(\cdot, k)$ in §3.2 to construct and characterize the standard transmission and reflection coefficients. We end §3.2 with a version of the classical Levinson's theorem which states that a single reflection coefficient determines $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ uniquely. In §3.3, we show how $t(k)$ may be obtained from either of $r_{\pm}$ and construct a continuous involution $\mathcal{I}$ on the space of reflection coefficients with $r_{\pm} = \mathcal{I}r_{\mp}$.

3.1. Jost solutions. Suppose that $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. We say that $y \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the Schrödinger equation $-y'' + qy = k^2 y$ if

$$\mathfrak{s}(y, \varphi) = k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \overline{\varphi} dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. It will be important to have an alternative formulation.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ possesses a Riccati representative $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that $k \in \mathbb{C}$. A function $y \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ solves the equation*

$$(3.3) \quad -y'' + qy = k^2 y$$

if and only if the vector-valued function

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ y^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' - uy \end{pmatrix}$$

solves the first-order system

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \\ -k^2 & -u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y^{[1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof can be found e.g. in [26]. The function $y^{[1]}$ is called the *quasi-derivative* of y . Note that $y^{[1]}$ is absolutely continuous, hence differentiable almost everywhere, while y' need not even be continuous. Associated to this first-order system is the modified Wronskian

$$(3.5) \quad W\{y_1, y_2\} = y_1 y_2^{[1]} - y_2 y_1^{[1]}$$

which is independent of x if y_1 and y_2 are solutions of (3.3) and coincides with the ordinary Wronskian if u is absolutely continuous.

Before describing the construction of Jost solutions, we first recall the ZS-AKNS system and its well-known connection to the Schrödinger equation. The ZS-AKNS system¹ is given by

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dx}\psi = iz\sigma_3\psi + Q\psi$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u(x) \\ u(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ is a vector-valued solution to (3.6), then the scalar function

$$\chi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$$

solves the Schrödinger equation $-\chi'' + q\chi = z^2\chi$ with potential $q = u' + u^2$. A short computation shows that the quasi-derivative of χ is given by

$$\chi^{[1]} := \chi' - u\chi = iz(\psi_1 - \psi_2).$$

We can use this connection between ZS-AKNS systems and Schrödinger equations, together with the representation formulas for solutions of (3.6) derived in §3 of Paper I, to find representation formulas for the Jost solutions $f_{\pm}$ associated to a Miura potential q and for their quasi-derivatives. Although in Paper I it was assumed that $u_+ = u_- =: u$ belongs to $L^1 \cap L^2$ on the whole line, only the integrability of u on half-lines was used to construct the Jost solutions and to study their properties. Therefore it is legitimate to use the respective results of Paper I in the more general setting of this paper.

Assume now that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and let as usual $u_{\pm}$ be the corresponding extremal Riccati representatives belonging to $X^{\pm}$. Consider first the construction of the “right” Jost solution f_+ . By the results of Paper I the AKNS system (3.6) with $u = u_+$ and $z = k \in \mathbb{R}$ possesses a matrix-valued solution $\Psi_+(\cdot, k)$ obeying the asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\Psi_+(x, k) - \exp(ikx\sigma_3)| = 0.$$

We then have the representation (taking $z = 2k$ in the formulas of Paper I)

$$(3.8) \quad \Psi_+(x, k) = \left[I + \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma_+(x, \zeta) \exp(2ik\zeta\sigma_3) d\zeta \right] \exp(ikx\sigma_3),$$

with I being the 2×2 identity matrix and $\Gamma_+ = (\Gamma_{jk}^+)$ a matrix-valued function. Denoting by $X_0^+ \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ the space of 2×2 matrix-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with entries in X_0^+ , we get:

¹This is actually a special case since we assume that the off-diagonal entries of Q are equal and real-valued. We also make a choice of the spectral parameter z which is convenient for connecting with the Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 3.3. *The map $x \mapsto \Gamma_+(x, \cdot)$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}$ into $X_0^+ \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and*

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \|\Gamma_+(x, \cdot)\|_{X_0^+ \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})} = 0.$$

Moreover, the map

$$X_c^+ \ni u_+ \mapsto \{x \mapsto \Gamma_+(x, \cdot)\} \in C((c, \infty); X_0^+ \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

is continuous for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. These statements follow from the proof of Proposition 3.5 in §3.2 of Paper I. $\square$

Write

$$\Psi_+(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11}^+(x, k) & \psi_{12}^+(x, k) \\ \psi_{21}^+(x, k) & \psi_{22}^+(x, k) \end{pmatrix}$$

and let

$$f_+(x, k) = \psi_{11}^+(x, k) + \psi_{21}^+(x, k).$$

Then the function $f_+(\cdot, k)$ solves the Schrödinger equation $-y'' + qy = k^2y$ (recall that $q = u'_+ + u_+^2$ by assumption), and from Lemma 3.3 and the constancy of the Wronskian (3.5) for solutions, we immediately obtain:

Lemma 3.4. *The representation formulas*

$$(3.10) \quad f_+(x, k) = e^{ikx} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty [\Gamma_{11}^+(x, \zeta) + \Gamma_{21}^+(x, \zeta)] e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right),$$

$$(3.11) \quad f_+^{[1]}(x, k) = ike^{ikx} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty [\Gamma_{11}^+(x, \zeta) - \Gamma_{21}^+(x, \zeta)] e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right)$$

hold. Moreover, denoting by W_+ the modified Wronskian (3.5) with $u = u_+$, we get

$$(3.12) \quad W_+ \{f_+(x, k), f_+(x, -k)\} = -2ik.$$

This shows that the function $f_+(\cdot, k)$ constructed above is indeed the “right” Jost solution for the potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we use equalities (3.10)–(3.11) to analytically continue $f_+(x, k)$ and $f_+^{[1]}(x, k)$ for k in the open complex upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+$. The function $f_+(\cdot, k)$ so continued is the Jost solution for the potential q for all k in the closed complex upper-half plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ and satisfies for such k the asymptotic condition

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \begin{pmatrix} y(x) \\ y^{[1]}(x) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e^{ikx} \\ ike^{ikx} \end{pmatrix} \right| = 0.$$

Similarly, let $\Psi_-(x, k)$ be the matrix solution of (3.6) with $u = u_-$, the Riccati representative of q with $u_- \in X^-$, that obeys the asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |\Psi_-(x, k) - \exp(ikx\sigma_3)| = 0.$$

We then have the representation

$$(3.14) \quad \Psi_-(x, k) = \left(I + \int_{-\infty}^0 \Gamma_-(x, \zeta) \exp(2ik\zeta\sigma_3) d\zeta \right) \exp(ikx\sigma_3)$$

(taking $z = 2k$ in the formulas of Paper I). In analogy to Lemma 3.3, we have:

Lemma 3.5. *The map $x \mapsto \Gamma_-(x, \cdot)$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}$ into $X_0^- \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and*

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \|\Gamma_-(x, \cdot)\|_{X_0^- \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})} = 0.$$

Moreover, the map

$$X_c^- \ni u_- \mapsto \{x \mapsto \Gamma_-(x, \cdot)\} \in C((-\infty, c); X_0^- \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

is continuous for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Writing

$$\Psi_-(x, k) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11}^-(x, k) & \psi_{12}^-(x, k) \\ \psi_{21}^-(x, k) & \psi_{22}^-(x, k) \end{pmatrix}$$

and taking

$$f_-(x, k) = \psi_{12}^-(x, k) + \psi_{22}^-(x, k)$$

we find that $f_-(\cdot, k)$ is a solution of the equation $-y'' + qy = k^2y$ (recall that $q = u'_- + u_-^2$ by assumption) and obtain the obvious analogue of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.6. *The representation formulas*

$$(3.16) \quad f_-(x, k) = e^{-ikx} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^0 [\Gamma_{12}^-(x, \zeta) + \Gamma_{22}^-(x, \zeta)] e^{-2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right),$$

$$(3.17) \quad f_-^{[1]}(x, k) = -ike^{-ikx} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^0 [\Gamma_{12}^-(x, \zeta) - \Gamma_{22}^-(x, \zeta)] e^{-2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right)$$

hold. Moreover, if W_- denotes the modified Wronskian (3.5) with $u = u_-$, then

$$W_- \{f_-(x, k), f_-(x, -k)\} = 2ik.$$

Clearly, $f_-(\cdot, k)$ is the “left” Jost solution corresponding to the potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. The analytic extension of $f_-(x, k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ by means of (3.16) gives the Jost solution for the potential q in the closed complex upper-half plane and satisfies for $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ the asymptotic condition

$$(3.18) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left| \begin{pmatrix} y(x) \\ y^{[1]}(x) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ikx} \\ -ike^{-ikx} \end{pmatrix} \right| = 0.$$

It will be important in the analysis of the inverse problem to have the following equations of Gelfand–Levitan–Marchenko type for $\Gamma_{\pm}$ derived in Proposition 3.8 of Paper I. Set

$$(3.19) \quad F_+(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2ikx} r_+(k) dk,$$

$$(3.20) \quad F_-(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2ikx} r_-(k) dk$$

(note the slightly different convention for the Fourier transform than in Paper I owing to the change of variables $s = 2k$, and note that $F_{\pm}$ are real-valued in our case) and define the matrix-valued functions

$$\Omega_-(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_-(x) \\ F_-(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_+(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_+(x) \\ F_+(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then Proposition 3.8 in Paper I gives the following relations between $\Gamma_{\pm}$ and $\Omega_{\pm}$:

$$(3.21) \quad \Gamma_{-}(x, \zeta) + \Omega_{-}(x + \zeta) + \int_{-\infty}^0 \Gamma_{-}(x, t) \Omega_{-}(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0, \quad \zeta < 0,$$

$$(3.22) \quad \Gamma_{+}(x, \zeta) + \Omega_{+}(x + \zeta) + \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma_{+}(x, t) \Omega_{+}(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0, \quad \zeta > 0.$$

Finally, if we write

$$(3.23) \quad f_{+}(x, k) = m_{+}(x, k) e^{ikx},$$

$$(3.24) \quad f_{-}(x, k) = m_{-}(x, k) e^{-ikx},$$

then (3.10) and (3.16) imply that

$$(3.25) \quad m_{+}(x, k) = 1 + \int_0^{\infty} K_{+}(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta,$$

$$(3.26) \quad m_{-}(x, k) = 1 + \int_{-\infty}^0 K_{-}(x, \zeta) e^{-2ik\zeta} d\zeta,$$

where

$$K_{+}(x, \zeta) = \Gamma_{11}^{+}(x, \zeta) + \Gamma_{21}^{+}(x, \zeta),$$

$$K_{-}(x, \zeta) = \Gamma_{12}^{-}(x, \zeta) + \Gamma_{22}^{-}(x, \zeta).$$

Therefore, by passing to Fourier transforms of (3.21)–(3.22) in the usual way, we immediately obtain:

Lemma 3.7. *For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions*

$$m_{-}(x, -k) + e^{-2ikx} r_{-}(k) m_{-}(x, k)$$

and

$$m_{+}(x, -k) + e^{2ikx} r_{+}(k) m_{+}(x, k)$$

of variable k belong to $H_{+}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We conclude this subsection with the observation that if q is a Miura potential with extremal Riccati representatives $u_{\pm} \in X^{\pm}$, then the Jost solutions at zero energy are given by

$$f_{+}(x, 0) = \exp \left(- \int_x^{\infty} u_{+}(y) dy \right),$$

$$f_{-}(x, 0) = \exp \left(\int_{-\infty}^x u_{-}(y) dy \right)$$

and, conversely,

$$(3.27) \quad u_{+}(x) = \frac{f'_{+}(x, 0)}{f_{+}(x, 0)},$$

$$(3.28) \quad u_{-}(x) = \frac{f'_{-}(x, 0)}{f_{-}(x, 0)}.$$

3.2. Representation formulas for the scattering coefficients. The transmission and reflection coefficients can be computed from Wronskians of the Jost solution, with due care given to the fact that W_+ and W_- are *different* modified Wronskians corresponding to *distinct* Riccati representatives of q if $u_+ \neq u_-$.

Recall that the coefficients $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ were defined by the usual relations (1.4) or (1.5). Using (3.12), one derives the formulas

$$(3.29) \quad a(k) = \frac{W_+ \{f_-(x, k), f_+(x, k)\}}{2ik},$$

$$(3.30) \quad b(k) = -\frac{W_+ \{f_-(x, k), f_+(x, -k)\}}{2ik}$$

for real nonzero k . Since $\overline{f_\pm(\cdot, k)} = f_\pm(\cdot, -k)$ for such k and since u is real-valued, it follows that a and b have the property

$$(3.31) \quad \overline{a(k)} = a(-k),$$

$$(3.32) \quad \overline{b(k)} = b(-k),$$

while, by standard arguments,

$$(3.33) \quad |a(k)|^2 - |b(k)|^2 = 1.$$

We also note that (3.29) can be used to extend a analytically to the open upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+$. The function a so defined is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \setminus \{0\}$ and has no zeros there. Indeed, $a(k) \neq 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ due to (3.33). Assume that there is $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ such that $a(k) = 0$. Then the Jost solutions $f_+(\cdot, k)$ and $f_-(\cdot, k)$ are linearly dependent and thus equation (3.3) has a solution that decays exponentially at $\pm\infty$. Therefore $k^2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$ is an eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator S , which is impossible in view of non-negativity of the latter.

Using the Wronskian formulas (3.29)–(3.30) at $x = 0$, together with the representation formulas (3.10)–(3.11) and (3.16)–(3.17), we derive the following representation for a and b (recall that $\hat{f}$ stands for the Fourier transform of a function f normalized by (1.9)).

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then the coefficients a and b admit the representation*

$$(3.34) \quad a(k) = 1 - \hat{A}_1(k) + v(0) \left[\frac{1 - \hat{A}_2(k)}{2ik} \right],$$

$$(3.35) \quad b(k) = \hat{B}_1(k) - v(0) \left[\frac{1 - \hat{B}_2(k)}{2ik} \right],$$

in which A_j and B_j , $j = 1, 2$, are real-valued functions in X , with A_i supported on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, $A_2 = B_2 = 0$ if $q \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ and

$$1 - \hat{A}_2(0) = 1 - \hat{B}_2(0) = f_+(0, 0)f_-(0, 0)$$

is nonzero if $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$. The maps $q \mapsto A_j$ and $q \mapsto B_j$ are continuous maps from $\mathcal{Q}$ into X .

The derivation of (3.34) and (3.35) uses the fact that $\hat{X}$ is a Banach algebra and is quite straightforward. We omit the corresponding calculations and only note that the term involving $v(0)$ arises from the fact that the quasi-derivatives in W_+ are referred to u_+ , whereas the representation formula (3.17) for $f_-^{[1]}$ refers to u_- .

Since u_+ and u_- differ by a continuous function v and f_- is absolutely continuous in x , the expression $f'_-(x, k) - u_+(x)f_-(x, k) = f_-^{[1]}(x, k) - v(x)f_-(x, k)$ defines a continuous function.

Corollary 3.9. *If $v(0) \neq 0$, i.e., if $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$, then the function a has a singularity at $k = 0$ with*

$$(3.36) \quad \theta := \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} 2ik a(k) = v(0)f_+(0, 0)f_-(0, 0) > 0.$$

Remark 3.10. If q is sufficiently regular so that the ordinary derivatives $f'_+(x, k)$ and $f'_-(x, k)$ in x exist and are continuous, then relations (3.27)–(3.28) yield the representation of the number θ of (3.36) as the usual Wronskian of the Jost solutions, viz.

$$\theta = f'_+(0, 0)f_-(0, 0) - f_+(0, 0)f'_-(0, 0).$$

We now turn to the reflection and transmission coefficients defined by (1.6)–(1.8).

Proposition 3.11. *Suppose that $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$. Then $r_{\pm} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, and the maps (3.1) and (3.2) are continuous.*

Proof. First, $r_{\pm}(-k) = \overline{r_{\pm}(k)}$ by (3.31)–(3.32), and $|r_{\pm}(k)| < 1$ for $k \neq 0$ by (3.33). To show that $r_{\pm} \in \widehat{X}$ and to analyze small- k behavior we factor out the leading behavior of $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$. The function $(k+i)^{-1}$ is easily seen to belong to $\widehat{X}$, so that, by Lemma 3.8, Corollary 3.9, and the Banach algebra structure of $\widehat{X}$, the functions

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{a}(k) &= \frac{k}{k+i} a(k), \\ \widetilde{b}(k) &= \frac{k}{k+i} b(k) \end{aligned}$$

also belong to $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}$, respectively, and the map $q \mapsto (\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b})$ is continuous. Moreover, the function $\widetilde{a}$ does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}$, tends to 1 at infinity and thus is an invertible element of $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$. Observing that (cf. (1.6)–(1.8))

$$(3.38) \quad r_+(k) = \frac{i-k}{i+k} \frac{\widetilde{b}(-k)}{\widetilde{a}(k)},$$

$$(3.39) \quad r_-(k) = \frac{\widetilde{b}(k)}{\widetilde{a}(k)},$$

we see that $r_{\pm} \in \widehat{X}$. Since $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{b}$ are continuous and

$$\widetilde{a}(0) = -\widetilde{b}(0) = -\frac{1}{2}v(0)f_+(0, 0)f_-(0, 0) \neq 0,$$

we have $r_{\pm}(0) = -1$. Finally, we compute

$$(3.40) \quad \widetilde{r}_{\pm}(k) = \frac{1 - |r_{\pm}(k)|^2}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k^2 + 1} \frac{1}{|\widetilde{a}(k)|^2};$$

since $|\widetilde{a}(k)|^2 = \widetilde{a}(k)\widetilde{a}(-k)$ belongs to $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$ and is an invertible element there, the left-hand side of (3.40) belongs to $\widehat{X}$ and satisfies the condition $\widetilde{r}_{\pm}(0) > 0$.

Continuity of the maps (3.1) and (3.2) as maps from $X_0^+ \times X_0^- \times \mathbb{R}^+$ into $\widehat{X}$ follows from Lemma 3.8 and formulas (3.38)–(3.40). $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to show that a potential $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is uniquely determined by a single reflection coefficient. The following proof is a simple variant of the proof of Levinson's theorem given in [4].

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ have the same right reflection coefficient r . Then $q_1 = q_2$ as distributions.*

Proof. First, we recall the vanishing lemma (Lemma 1 on p. 207 of [4]) which states that if $h \in H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$, if $r \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $|r(k)| < 1$ a.e., and $rh + \bar{h} \in H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $h = 0$. As in [4] we will use the analyticity property of $m_\pm$ given in Lemma 3.7 to prove that $m_+(x, k, q_1) = m_+(x, k, q_2)$ and $m_-(x, k, q_1) = m_-(x, k, q_2)$. If so, we can conclude from (3.27)–(3.28) that the left and right Riccati representatives of the two potentials are the same; hence $v(0; q_1) = v(0; q_2)$ and $q_1 = q_2$ as distributions.

To prove the equality of Jost solutions, fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} h_+(k) &= m_+(x, k, q_1) - m_+(x, k, q_2), \\ h_-(k) &= m_-(x, k, q_1) - m_-(x, k, q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $h_\pm \in H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ and, by Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} h_+(-k) + e^{2ikx} r_+(k) h_+(k) &\in H_+^2(\mathbb{R}), \\ h_-(-k) + e^{-2ikx} r_-(k) h_-(k) &\in H_+^2(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_\pm(-k) = \overline{h_\pm(k)}$, it follows from the vanishing lemma that $h_+ = h_- = 0$. $\square$

Propositions 3.11 and 3.12 give the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.3. Reconstruction of the transmission coefficient. Next, we show how to construct $t(k)$ given either one of the reflection coefficients. As we mentioned above, formula (3.29) allows to extend a analytically in the open upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+$ and this extension has no zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus the regularization $\tilde{a}$ of a given by (3.37) extends to a bounded holomorphic function in the upper-half plane with no zeros in its closure. Using the Schwarz formula to reconstruct the function $\log \tilde{a}$ from its real part $\operatorname{Re} \log \tilde{a}(s) = \log |\tilde{a}(s)|$, we get

$$(3.41) \quad \tilde{a}(z) = \exp \left(\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log |\tilde{a}(s)| \frac{ds}{s - z} \right).$$

Combining (3.40)–(3.41) results in

$$t(z) := 1/a(z) = \frac{z}{z + i} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log \left[\left(1 - |r_\pm(s)|^2 \right) \frac{s^2 + 1}{s^2} \right] \frac{ds}{s - z} \right\},$$

and t on the real line is given as a boundary value as $\operatorname{Im} z \rightarrow 0$. Recalling the Riesz projector $\mathcal{C}_+$ of (1.11), we get the formula

$$(3.42) \quad t(k) = \frac{k}{k + i} \exp \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_+ \log \left[\left(1 - |r_\pm(s)|^2 \right) \frac{s^2 + 1}{s^2} \right] \right) (k) \right\}.$$

In particular, the number $\theta := \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} [2ik/t(k)]$ can be recovered from either reflection coefficient.

We next show that formula (3.42) makes sense for every element $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Indeed, as noted in Introduction, the function

$$(3.43) \quad \left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} = 1 - r(k)r(-k) + \tilde{r}(k)$$

belongs to the algebra $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$, does not vanish on the real line, and tends to 1 at infinity. By the Wiener–Levi lemma (Lemma A.2 of Paper I), the function

$$\log \left[\left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \right]$$

also belongs to $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$; in fact, since it vanishes at infinity, it belongs to $\widehat{X}$. Finally, the Riesz projector $\mathcal{C}_+$ acts continuously in $\widehat{X}$, and exponentiation is a continuous operation in $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$ by the Wiener–Levi lemma. We now define a function $\tilde{t} \in 1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$ by (cf. (3.42))

$$(3.44) \quad \tilde{t} = \exp \left\{ \mathcal{C}_+ \log \left[\left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \right] \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\tilde{t}$ is an invertible element of the Banach algebra $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$. Moreover, the following holds:

Lemma 3.13. *The mappings*

$$\mathcal{R}_{>0} \ni r \mapsto \tilde{t} \in 1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{>0} \ni r \mapsto 1/\tilde{t} \in 1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$$

are continuous.

Proof. Since taking the inverse in a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous operation on the open set of all invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$, only the first mapping needs to be studied. As noted above, the Riesz projector acts continuously in $\widehat{X}$ and exponentiation is a continuous mapping from $\widehat{X}$ to $1 \dot{+} \widehat{X}$, so that it suffices to prove that the mapping

$$\mathcal{R}_{>0} \ni r \mapsto \log \left[\left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \right] \in \widehat{X}$$

is continuous.

Fix an arbitrary $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ and set

$$\varepsilon_0 := \inf_{|k| > 1} (1 - |r_0(k)|^2), \quad \varepsilon_1 := \inf_{|k| \leq 1} \tilde{r}_0(k).$$

Since r_0 is a continuous functions vanishing at infinity and satisfying $|r_0(k)| < 1$ for $|k| > 1$, we get $\varepsilon_0 > 0$; also $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ since $\tilde{r}_0$ is a positive continuous function. Fix now $\varepsilon > 0$ that is less than $\min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1\}/4$ and take an ε -neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$ of the point r_0 in $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Observing that the norm in $\widehat{X}$ dominates the sup-norm, i.e., that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{R}} |f(k)| \leq \|f\|_{\widehat{X}}$$

for every $f \in \widehat{X}$, we conclude that

$$\inf_{|k| > 1} (1 - |r(k)|^2) \geq \varepsilon_0/2$$

and

$$\inf_{|k| \leq 1} \tilde{r}(k) \geq \varepsilon_1/2$$

for every $r \in \mathcal{O}(r_0)$. It follows that

$$\left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \geq 2\varepsilon$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $r \in \mathcal{O}(r_0)$. The Wiener–Levi lemma (Lemma A.2 of Paper I) now yields continuity (in fact, even analyticity) of the mapping

$$\mathcal{O}(r_0) \ni r \mapsto \log \left[\left(1 - |r(k)|^2 \right) \frac{k^2 + 1}{k^2} \right] \in \widehat{X}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

We observe that since the function in (3.43) is even and the Riesz projector maps even functions into odd ones, the function $\tilde{t}$ enjoys the symmetry property $\tilde{t}(-k) = \overline{\tilde{t}(k)}$. We set $t(k) = k\tilde{t}(k)/(k+i)$; then the above considerations show that

$$\frac{t(k)}{t(-k)} = \frac{k-i}{k+i} \frac{\tilde{t}(k)}{\tilde{t}(-k)}$$

also belongs to $1 + \widehat{X}$. The function

$$r^\#(k) = -\frac{t(k)}{t(-k)} r(k)$$

thus belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ and, as $|t(k)/t(-k)| = 1$, we have

$$\frac{1 - |r^\#(k)|^2}{k^2} = \frac{1 - |r(k)|^2}{k^2} \in \widehat{X}.$$

Hence:

Proposition 3.14. *For $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, define $\tilde{t}$ by (3.44) and set $t(k) = k\tilde{t}(k)/(k+i)$. Then the nonlinear map*

$$\mathcal{I} : r \mapsto r^\#(k) := -\frac{t(k)}{t(-k)} r(-k)$$

is a continuous involution on $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$.

4. THE INVERSE PROBLEM

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. There exists a unique $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ having r as its right reflection coefficient. Moreover, the map $r \mapsto q$ is continuous.*

We suppose given a function $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, presumed to be the right reflection coefficient corresponding to a potential q_0 to be found. From this data, we can construct $t(k)$ (and hence $a(k) := 1/t(k)$) using (3.42), and use the involution $\mathcal{I}$ to construct $r^\# = \mathcal{I}r$, a candidate for the left reflection coefficient. Clearly, we then should define b as $r^\#a$.

In §4.1, we form two Gelfand–Levitan–Marchenko equations like (3.21)–(3.22) of Subsection 3.1 but taking the putative reflection coefficients r and $r^\#$ instead of r_+ and r_- and prove that these equations are uniquely soluble for the kernels Γ and $\Gamma^\#$. These kernels determine candidate right and left Riccati representatives w and $w^\#$, which give the Riccati data

$$(4.1) \quad (w|_{\mathbb{R}^+}, w^\#|_{\mathbb{R}^-}, (w^\# - w)(0))$$

of a distribution potential $q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}$. The construction exhibits continuity of the map from r to the data (4.1) as maps from X to $X_0^+ \times X_0^- \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

In §4.2 we show that Γ and $\Gamma^\#$ can be used to construct Jost solutions for half-line Schrödinger operators with Riccati representatives w and $w^\#$ respectively. Finally,

in §4.3 we justify the reconstruction by showing that $w' + w^2 = (w^\#)' + (w^\#)^2 = q_0$ and that q_0 has reflection coefficients r and $r^\#$. It then follows from Proposition 3.12 that q_0 is the correct reconstruction.

4.1. Reconstruction maps. Given a function $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we compute $t = 1/a$ as a boundary value of (3.42) and set $r^\# := \mathcal{I}r$ and $b := r^\#a$.

Next, set

$$(4.2) \quad F(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(k) e^{2ikx} dk,$$

$$(4.3) \quad F^\#(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^\#(k) e^{-2ikx} dk,$$

form the matrix-valued functions

$$\Omega(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F(x) \\ F(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega^\#(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F^\#(x) \\ F^\#(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and consider the integral equations (cf. equations (3.21)–(3.22))

$$(4.4) \quad \Gamma^\#(x, \zeta) + \Omega^\#(x + \zeta) + \int_{-\infty}^0 \Gamma^\#(x, t) \Omega^\#(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0, \quad \zeta < 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \Gamma(x, \zeta) + \Omega(x + \zeta) + \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma(x, t) \Omega(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0, \quad \zeta > 0.$$

The matrix equation (4.5) yields the following system for the entries Γ_{11} and Γ_{12} of Γ :

$$(4.6) \quad \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) + \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma_{12}(x, t) F(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0,$$

$$(4.7) \quad F(x + \zeta) + \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) + \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma_{11}(x, t) F(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0,$$

which gives a single equation to determine Γ_{12} in the form

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) + F(x + \zeta) \\ & - \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Gamma_{12}(x, t_1) F(x + t_1 + t_2) F(x + t_2 + \zeta) dt_1 dt_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar reasoning results in the following equation for $\Gamma_{12}^\#$:

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{12}^\#(x, \zeta) + F^\#(x + \zeta) \\ & - \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \Gamma_{12}^\#(x, t_1) F^\#(x + t_1 + t_2) F^\#(x + t_2 + \zeta) dt_1 dt_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that, for each x , equations (4.8) and (4.9) have unique solutions $\Gamma_{12}(x, \cdot)$ and $\Gamma_{12}^\#(x, \cdot)$ belonging to X_0^+ and X_0^- and then take (cf. Proposition 3.9 of Paper I)

$$(4.10) \quad w(x) := -\Gamma_{12}(x, 0),$$

$$(4.11) \quad w^\#(x) := \Gamma_{12}^\#(x, 0)$$

as putative right and left Riccati representatives of a potential q to be found. Denote by $(X_{\mathbb{R}})_1$ the set of real-valued functions $F \in X$ such that $|\widehat{F}| < 1$ a.e.

Proposition 4.2. *For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the equations (4.8) and (4.9) have unique solutions respectively in X_0^+ and X_0^- . These solutions depend therein continuously on $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F, F^\# \in (X_{\mathbb{R}})_1$, and can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) &= -F(x + \zeta) - G(x, \zeta) \\ \Gamma_{12}^\#(x, \zeta) &= -F^\#(x + \zeta) - G^\#(x, \zeta)\end{aligned}$$

where G (resp. $G^\#$) is jointly continuous in x and ζ . Moreover, the functions w and $w^\#$ defined by (4.10) and (4.11) have the following properties:

- (1) For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in X_c^+$ and $w^\# \in X_c^-$.
- (2) The maps

$$(X_{\mathbb{R}})_1 \ni F \mapsto w \in X_c^+$$

and

$$(X_{\mathbb{R}})_1 \ni F^\# \mapsto w^\# \in X_c^-$$

are continuous for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (3) $w^\# - w$ is a continuous function.

Proof. The proofs of all statements but (3) are almost identical to the proofs of Lemmas 4.1–4.3 in Paper I. We will only discuss the few changes needed in this case, using the notation of Paper I, and we will only discuss (4.8) and (4.10) since the corresponding proofs for (4.9) and (4.11) are very similar.

If we introduce the linear operator $T_F(x)$ on X_0^+ by

$$T_F(x)\psi(y) := \int_0^\infty \psi(t)F(x + y + t) dt,$$

then equation (4.8) takes the form

$$(I - T_F^2(x))\Gamma_{12}(x, \cdot) = -F(x + \cdot),$$

and thus to solve (4.8) we need to study properties of $T_F(x)$. This operator obeys the estimates (4.3) in Paper I except that the inequality

$$(4.12) \quad \|T_F(x)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \|r\|_\infty$$

in view of the relation $r(0) = -1$ does not show that the L^2 -norm of $T_F(x)$ is less than 1. We shall use a different argument proving that $I - T_F^2(x)$ is invertible in X_0^+ and that for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $\rho_c < 1$ such that $\|T_F(x)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} < \rho_c$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_c^+$.

To do this, one first shows that $\ker_{L^2}(I \pm T_F(x))$ is trivial, exploiting the fact that $|r(k)| < 1$ a.e. (see, for example, the proof of Lemma 6.4.1 in [21]). Since $T_F(x)$ maps boundedly $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we also have that $\ker_{L^1}(I \pm T_F(x))$ is trivial. The operator $T_F(x)$ is compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$; this is obviously true if $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, hence follows for an arbitrary $F \in X$ by the norm-closure of the compact operators and an approximation argument (based on the L^1 -norm estimate (4.3) of Paper I and the inequality (4.12) together with the fact that $\|r\|_\infty \leq \|F\|_X$). The Fredholm alternative implies that the operator $I - T_F^2(x)$ is boundedly invertible in X_0^+ .

Next, since $T_F(x)$ is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\|T_F(x)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq 1$ by (4.12), for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $\rho_c < 1$ such that the spectrum of $T_F(c)$ belongs to $[-\rho_c, \rho_c]$. The explicit dependence of $T_F(x)$ on x shows that the L^2 -norm of $T_F(x)$ is a non-increasing function of x and thus

$$\|T_F(x)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \rho_c$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_c^+$ as claimed.

One then follows the proofs of Lemmas 4.1–4.3 of Paper I (replacing therein $\|r\|_\infty$ and ρ with ρ_c) to obtain the claimed properties of Γ_{12} and w .

To prove statement (3) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= F(x) + G(x, 0) \\ w^\#(x) &= -F^\#(x) - G^\#(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

so it suffices to show that $F + F^\#$ is continuous. By (4.2)–(4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) + F^\#(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (r(k) + r^\#(-k)) e^{2ikx} dk \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{t(k)}{t(-k)}\right) r(k) e^{2ikx} dk. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $t(k)/t(-k)$ is in $1 + \widehat{X}$ and tends to 1 at infinity, it follows that $\left(1 - \frac{t(k)}{t(-k)}\right) r(k) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $F + F^\#$ is continuous. $\square$

Formula (4.6) and its analogue for the kernel $\Gamma^\#$ show that the entries Γ_{11} and $\Gamma_{11}^\#$ of the solutions Γ and $\Gamma^\#$ have similar continuous dependence on F and $F^\#$. Also, the symmetry of Ω yields the relations $\Gamma_{21} = \Gamma_{12}$ and $\Gamma_{22} = \Gamma_{11}$; similar arguments give the equalities $\Gamma_{21}^\# = \Gamma_{12}^\#$ and $\Gamma_{22}^\# = \Gamma_{11}^\#$.

4.2. Jost solutions. In this section we shall show that the matrix-valued kernels Γ and $\Gamma^\#$ may be used as in (3.8) and (3.14) to construct solutions of the ZS-AKNS systems (3.6) with $u = w$ and $u = w^\#$. We start with the following observation.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ is such that the corresponding function F belongs to the Sobolev space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the solutions Γ_{11} and Γ_{12} of the system (4.6)–(4.7) satisfy the system of equations*

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) = w(x) \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta),$$

$$(4.14) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right) \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) = w(x) \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta).$$

Proof. Under the assumptions of the lemma, the solutions Γ_{11} and Γ_{12} are continuously differentiable in x and ζ . Indeed, smoothness in ζ is obtained immediately from the equations (4.6) and (4.7), while the way $T_F(x)$ depends on x and the arguments given in the proof of Proposition 4.2 show continuous differentiability in x .

Differentiating now (4.6) in x and then integrating by parts on account of (4.10) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) = -w(x) F(x+t) - \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{12}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{12}}{\partial \zeta} \right) (x, t) F(x+t+\zeta) dt.$$

On the other hand, we find from equation (4.7) that

$$(4.15) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right) \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}}{\partial x} (x, t) F(x+t+\zeta) dt,$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) = -w(x) F(x+t) + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial \Gamma_{11}}{\partial x} (x, t_1) F(x+t_1+t_2) F(x+t_2+\zeta) dt_1 dt_2.$$

Recalling now (4.8), we see that the function

$$N(x, \zeta) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) - w(x) \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta)$$

satisfies the relation

$$N(x, \zeta) - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty N(x, t_1) F(x + t_1 + t_2) F(x + t_2 + \zeta) dt_1 dt_2 = 0.$$

The analysis of the spectral properties of the operator $T_F(x)$ given in the proof of Proposition 4.2 implies that the above equation can have only the trivial solution. Therefore $N \equiv 0$, and (4.13) is established.

Using now (4.13) and (4.6) in (4.15), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right) \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) &= -w(x) \int_0^\infty \Gamma_{12}(x, t) F(x + t + \zeta) dt \\ &= w(x) \Gamma_{11}(x, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

Define now a vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ via (cf. (3.8))

$$\psi(x, k) := e^{ikx} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^\infty \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right],$$

where $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 := (\Gamma_{11}, \Gamma_{21})^T$ is the first column of the matrix Γ solving (4.5).

Lemma 4.4. *The function ψ solves the ZS-AKNS system (3.6) with $z = k$ and $u = w$.*

Proof. We remark that since the entries Γ_{12} and Γ_{21} of Γ coincide, we can freely interchange them as needed. Assume first that F is as in Lemma 4.3. Differentiation of the expression for ψ_1 on account of the relation (4.13) results in

$$\psi_1' = ik\psi_1 + w\psi_2.$$

Similarly we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2'(x, k) + ik\psi_2(x, k) - w(x)\psi_1(x, k) &= -w(x)e^{ikx} + e^{ikx} \int_0^\infty \left[2ik\Gamma_{12} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{12}}{\partial x} - w(x)\Gamma_{11} \right](x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta \\ &= -w(x)e^{ikx} + e^{ikx} \int_0^\infty \left[2ik\Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{12}}{\partial \zeta}(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} \right] d\zeta \\ &= -w(x)e^{ikx} + e^{ikx} \Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} \Big|_{\zeta=0}^\infty = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in view of (4.10) and the fact that $\Gamma_{12}(x, \zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of (4.7). Therefore the lemma is proved for $F \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Assume now that a real-valued function $F \in X$ corresponds to a generic $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. We approximate F by a sequence of $F_n \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ as follows. Let φ be a nonnegative function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int \varphi dx = 1$ and set $\varphi_n(x) := n\varphi(nx)$ and $F_n := F * \varphi_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $*$ denotes the convolution. Then, clearly, F_n belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and converges to F in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the Fourier transform $r_n := \widehat{F}_n$ of F_n is equal to $r\widehat{\varphi}_n$ and, since $|\widehat{\varphi}_n| \leq 1$, we find that r_n belongs to $\mathcal{R}$.

To show that $r_n \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we set $\psi(k) := (1 - |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2)/k^2$ and observe that

$$\psi_n(k) := \frac{1 - |\widehat{\varphi}_n(k)|^2}{k^2} = \frac{\psi(k/n)}{n^2}.$$

Therefore if we prove that $\psi \in \widehat{X}$, then the relation

$$\widetilde{r}_n(k) = \frac{1 - |r_n(k)|^2}{k^2} = \widetilde{r}(k) + |r(k)|^2 \psi_n(k)$$

and the inequality $\psi_n(0) \geq 0$ will imply that $\widetilde{r}_n \in \widehat{X}$ and $\widetilde{r}_n(0) > 0$, i.e., that $r_n \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$.

We observe first that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is of the Schwartz class and thus the same is true of $|\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 = \widehat{\varphi}(k)\widehat{\varphi}(-k)$. Next, the function $1 - |\widehat{\varphi}|^2$ has zero of order 2 at $k = 0$ and thus ψ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The behaviour of ψ at infinity shows that it belongs to the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore $\widehat{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and, moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\psi}(k)|^2 (1 + k^2) dk < \infty,$$

which by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields $\widehat{\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$; hence $\psi \in \widehat{X}$ as required. (We note in passing that in fact we have the convergence of r_n to r in the topology of $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$, although this fact is not needed.)

Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by w_n the function of (4.10) corresponding to the solution $\mathbf{\Gamma}_n$ of (4.5) with Ω constructed for F_n instead of F and by Q_n the matrix of (3.7) with $u = w_n$. By Proposition 4.2, the matrix-valued functions Q_n converge to Q in X_c^+ componentwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$, while the functions $\psi_n(\cdot, k)$ converge to ψ in the uniform topology on (c, ∞) , for every $c, k \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (3.6) that, on every compact x -interval Δ , the functions $\frac{d}{dx}\psi_n$ converge in the topology of $L^1(\Delta) \cap L^2(\Delta)$. It follows that, for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{R}$, ψ_n converge in $W^{1,1}(\Delta) \cap W^{1,2}(\Delta)$ to ψ and that ψ satisfies the ZS-AKNS system (3.6). The proof is complete. $\square$

Set $K := \Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{21}$; then K satisfies the Gelfand–Levitan–Marchenko equation

$$(4.16) \quad K(x, \zeta) + F(x + \zeta) + \int_0^\infty K(x, t) F(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0$$

for $\zeta > 0$, and the function $f := \psi_1 + \psi_2$ has the representation

$$f(x, k) := e^{ikx} \left(1 + \int_0^\infty K(x, \zeta) e^{2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right).$$

By the preceding lemma the vector-valued function ψ solves the ZS-AKNS system (3.6) with $u = w$; therefore, as explained in Subsection 3.1, the above function f solves the Schrödinger equation $-y'' + qy = k^2 y$ with $q = w' + w^2$. In other words, we arrive at the following conclusion.

Proposition 4.5. *The function f is the “right” Jost solution for the half-line Schrödinger operators with the “right” Riccati representative w .*

Similar analysis of the kernel $\Gamma^\#$ yields a kernel $K^\#$ satisfying the Gelfand–Levitan–Marchenko equation

$$(4.17) \quad K^\#(x, \zeta) + F^\#(x + \zeta) + \int_{-\infty}^0 K(x, t) F^\#(x + t + \zeta) dt = 0$$

for $\zeta < 0$ and the function

$$f^\#(x, k) := e^{-ikx} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^0 K^\#(x, \zeta) e^{-2ik\zeta} d\zeta \right)$$

solving the Schrödinger equation $-y'' + q^\#y = k^2y$ with $q^\# = (w^\#)' + (w^\#)^2$.

As with f , we have

Proposition 4.6. *The function $f^\#$ is the “left” Jost solution for the half-line Schrödinger operators with the “left” Riccati representative $w^\#$.*

4.3. Consistency of the reconstruction. Now we are in a position to justify the reconstruction procedure as suggested in Subsection 4.1 and prove the following:

Theorem 4.7. *The Schrödinger operator with potential q_0 corresponding to the triple $(w|_{\mathbb{R}^+}, w^\#|_{\mathbb{R}^-}, (w^\# - w)(0))$ has the “right” reflection coefficient r .*

Given $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we construct the function $\tilde{t}$ via (3.44) and set $t(k) = k\tilde{t}(k)/(k+i)$. In what follows we will denote by a and b the functions $1/t$ and $ar^\#$. Our aim is to show that a and b so defined coincide with the corresponding coefficients a_0 and b_0 for the potential q_0 reconstructed from the data (4.1).

The explicit construction formula (3.44) yields the following properties of the function a :

- (1) a is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \setminus \{0\}$;
- (2) $a(k) \rightarrow 1$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} 2ik a(k) = \theta \neq 0$, for some θ uniquely determined by r .

Also, we see from (3.44) that t satisfies the symmetry relation $t(-k) = \overline{t(k)}$ and that

$$t(-k) = \frac{k}{k-i} \exp \left\{ -\mathcal{C}_- \log \left[(1 - |r(s)|^2) \frac{s^2 + 1}{s^2} \right] \right\}$$

with $\mathcal{C}_-$ the Riesz projector of (1.11). Recalling the relation $\mathcal{C}_+ - \mathcal{C}_- = I$, we conclude that

$$|t(k)|^2 = t(k)t(-k) = 1 - |r(k)|^2,$$

i.e.,

$$(4.18) \quad |a(k)|^2 - |b(k)|^2 = 1.$$

Introduce the functions

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, k) &:= f(x, k) e^{-ikx}, \\ m^\#(x, k) &:= f^\#(x, k) e^{ikx}; \end{aligned}$$

then we have (cf. Lemma 3.7):

Lemma 4.8. *For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions*

$$(4.19) \quad m(x, -k) + e^{2ikx} r(k) m(x, k)$$

and

$$(4.20) \quad m^\#(x, -k) + e^{-2ikx} r^\#(k) m^\#(x, k)$$

admit analytic continuations into $\mathbb{C}^+$ as elements of $H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$, which are bounded and continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$.

Proof. To derive the properties of (4.19), consider the function

$$G(x, y) := K(x, y) + F(x + y) + \int_0^\infty K(x, s)F(x + s + y) ds$$

on the whole line, where we assume $K(x, y)$ to be continued by zero for $y < 0$. The function $G(x, \cdot)$ belongs to X and vanishes for $y > 0$ by (4.16). Therefore the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}G$ of G is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C}^+$ that belongs to $H_+^2(\mathbb{R})$, is continuous up to the boundary and bounded in the closed upper-half plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$. It remains to observe that $\pi\mathcal{F}^{-1}G$ coincides with $m(x, -k) + e^{2ikx}r(k)m(x, k) - 1$ on the real line.

One obtains properties of (4.20) similarly by taking the Fourier transform of (4.17). $\square$

Next, we prove:

Lemma 4.9. *The following relations hold:*

$$(4.21) \quad f^\#(x, k) = a(k)f(x, -k) - b(-k)f(x, k),$$

$$(4.22) \quad f(x, k) = a(k)f^\#(x, -k) + b(k)f^\#(x, k).$$

Proof. Denote by $g^\#(x, k)$ (resp. $g(x, k)$) the right-hand side of (4.21) (resp. (4.22)). The relation

$$g^\#(x, k) = e^{-ikx}a(k)[m(x, -k) + e^{2ikx}r(k)m(x, k)]$$

in view of Lemma 4.8 shows that $e^{ikx}g^\#(x, k)$ admits analytic continuation to the open upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+$ that is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \setminus \{0\}$ and bounded there outside every neighbourhood of the origin. Since the expression in the square brackets above vanishes at $k = 0$, we conclude that $g^\#(x, k) = o(1/k)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ within $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+} \setminus \{0\}$. Similar arguments show that the function $e^{-ikx}g(x, k)$ enjoys the same analyticity and continuity properties for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$.

Solving the system

$$\begin{aligned} g^\#(x, k) &= a(k)f(x, -k) - b(-k)f(x, k), \\ g^\#(x, -k) &= a(-k)f(x, k) - b(k)f(x, -k) \end{aligned}$$

for $f(x, k)$ on account of (4.18) gives

$$(4.23) \quad f(x, k) = a(k)g^\#(x, -k) + b(k)g^\#(x, k).$$

From (4.23) and the definition of g , we compute

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{f(x, k)f^\#(x, k) - g(x, k)g^\#(x, k)}{a(k)} = g^\#(x, -k)f^\#(x, k) - f^\#(x, -k)g^\#(x, k).$$

The right-hand side of (4.24) is an odd function of $k \in \mathbb{R}$, while the left-hand side has an analytic extension to the upper complex half-plane that is continuous up to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Hence we can extend the left-hand side of (4.24) to an analytic function h on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Since the right-hand side of (4.24) is $o(1/k)$ as $k \rightarrow 0$, $k = 0$ is a removable singularity of h and thus h is an entire function. Note that h is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^+$ because such are the functions $f(x, \cdot)f^\#(x, \cdot)$, $g(x, \cdot)g^\#(x, \cdot)$, and $1/a$. Since h is odd, it is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$ and thus a constant, which must be zero.

We thus conclude that

$$g^\#(x, -k)f^\#(x, k) = f^\#(x, -k)g^\#(x, k),$$

and since the Jost solution $f^\#(x, k)$ never vanishes for real x and real nonzero k , we get

$$(4.25) \quad \frac{g^\#(x, -k)}{f^\#(x, -k)} = \frac{g^\#(x, k)}{f^\#(x, k)}.$$

The left-hand side defines a function analytic and bounded in $\mathbb{C}^-$, while the right-hand side defines a function analytic and bounded in $\mathbb{C}^+$. Thus both sides give a function that is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and continuous up to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Arguing as above, we conclude that this function is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and has a removable singularity at $k = 0$. We thus get a bounded entire function, which must be constant. Since both $g^\#(x, k)$ and $f^\#(x, k)$ tend to 1 when k tends to ∞ along the real line, this constant is 1, and thus

$$g^\#(x, k) = f^\#(x, k)$$

as claimed, so (4.21) holds.

A similar proof shows that $g(x, k) = f(x, k)$ so that (4.22) holds. $\square$

Set now $q := w' + w^2$ and $q^\# := (w^\#)' + (w^\#)^2$; then q and $q^\#$ are distributions in $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. The crucial result is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *q and $q^\#$ coincide as distributions in $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. For every real nonzero k , the functions $f(\cdot, k)$ and $f(\cdot, -k)$ are linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$-y'' + qy = k^2y,$$

while $f^\#(\cdot, k)$ and $f^\#(\cdot, -k)$ are linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$(4.26) \quad -y'' + q^\#y = k^2y.$$

Lemma 4.9 shows that the function $f(\cdot, k)$ also solves equation (4.26) and thus we get the equality

$$(q - q^\#)f(\cdot, k) = 0$$

in the distributional sense for all real nonzero k . We recall that, in virtue of Lemma 2.4, the Jost solution $f(\cdot, 0)$ is everywhere positive on $\mathbb{R}$. In view of the analytic dependence on k , for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a real nonzero k_0 such that $f(x_0, k_0) > 0$. Therefore $f(x, k_0) > 0$ for all x in some neighbourhood of x_0 , whence q and $q^\#$ coincide as distributions in $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ in this neighbourhood. Since x_0 was arbitrary, we claim follows. $\square$

The above lemma implies that w and $w^\#$ are right and left Riccati representatives of a distribution $q_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ that in view of Lemma 2.2 can be associated to the triple $(w, w^\#, (w^\# - w)(0))$. By Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, the Jost solutions $f_\pm(\cdot, k)$ for the potential q_0 satisfy for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ the equalities

$$f_+(\cdot, k) = f(\cdot, k), \quad f_-(\cdot, k) = f^\#(\cdot, k).$$

Lemma 4.9 gives, for all real x and k , the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_-(x, k) &= a(k)f_+(x, -k) - b(-k)f_+(x, k), \\ f_+(x, k) &= a(k)f_-(x, -k) + b(k)f_-(x, k). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that q_0 has reflection coefficients $r_+ = r$ and $r_- = r^\#$ and thus is indeed the potential in $\mathcal{Q}_{>0}$ looked for. This completes the reconstruction procedure and proves Theorem 4.7.

Acknowledgements. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-0710477 (RH and PP) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under project 436 UKR 113/84 (RH and YM). RH acknowledges support from the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of Kentucky and thanks the Department of Mathematics at the University of Kentucky for hospitality during his stay there. RH, YM, and PP thank the Institut für angewandte Mathematik der Universität Bonn for hospitality during part of the time that this work was done. PP thanks Percy Deift for helpful conversations and SFB 611 for financial support of his research visit to Universität Bonn. The authors thank Iryna Egorova for bringing to their attention the paper [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, second ed., AMS/Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005 (with an appendix by P. Exner).
- [2] Albeverio, S.; Hryniv, R.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Inverse spectral problems for Dirac operators with summable potentials. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005), no. 4, 406–423.
- [3] Bodendorfer, B.; Dijkstra, A.; Langer, H. Dissipative eigenvalue problems for a Sturm–Liouville operator with a singular potential. *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb.* **130A** (2000), 1237–1257.
- [4] Deift, P.; Trubowitz, E. Inverse scattering on the line. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **32** (1979), no. 2, 121–251.
- [5] Faddeev, L. D. The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **14** (1959), 57–119; Engl. transl. in *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963), 72–104.
- [6] Faddeev, L. D. Properties of the S -matrix of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. (Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **73** (1964), 314–336.
- [7] Fischer, W.; Leschke, H.; Müller, P. The functionalanalytic versus the functionalintegral approach to quantum Hamiltonians: The one-dimensional hydrogen atom. *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 2313–2323.
- [8] Frayer, C. *Scattering with singular Miura potentials on the line*. Doctoral thesis, University of Kentucky, 2008.
- [9] Gohberg, I. C.; Kreĭn, M. G. *Theory and Applications of Volterra Operators in Hilbert Space*. Translated from the 1967 Russian original by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970.
- [10] Guseinov, I. M. Continuity of the coefficient of reflection of a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **21** (1985), no. 11, 1993–1995.
- [11] Frayer, C.; Hryniv, R.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V.; Perry, P. Inverse scattering for Schrödinger operators with Miura potentials, I. Unique Riccati representatives and ZS-AKNS systems. *Inverse Problems*, to appear.
- [12] Hryniv, R. O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. 1-D Schrödinger operators with periodic singular potentials, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* **7** (2001), no. 4, 31–42.
- [13] Hryniv, R.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V.; Perry, P. Inverse scattering for Schrödinger operators with Miura potentials, III. Bound states. (In preparation).
- [14] Hryniv, R.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V.; Perry, P. Solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation for singular initial data by the method of inverse scattering. (In preparation).
- [15] Kappeler, T.; Perry, P.; Shubin, M.; Topalov, P. The Miura map on the line. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2005), no. 50, 3091–3133.
- [16] Klaus, M. Low-energy behaviour of the scattering matrix for the Schrödinger equation on the line. *Inverse Problems* **4** (1988), no. 2, 505–512.
- [17] Kurasov, P. On the Coulomb potential in one dimension. *J. Phys. A* **29** (1996), 1767–1771.

- [18] Levitan, B. M. Sufficient conditions for the solvability of the inverse problem of scattering theory on the entire line. (Russian) *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **108(150)** (1979), no. 3, 350–357.
- [19] Levitan, B. M. *Inverse Sturm–Liouville Problems*. Nauka, Moscow, 1984. (Russian) English translation by O. Efimov. VSP, Zeist, 1987.
- [20] Marčenko, Vladimir A. On reconstruction of the potential energy from phases of the scattered waves. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **104** (1955), 695–698.
- [21] Marčenko, V. A. *Sturm–Liouville Operators and Applications*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1977. (Russian) English translation by A. Iacob. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 22. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
- [22] Melin, A. Operator methods for inverse scattering on the real line. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **10** (1985), no. 7, 677–766.
- [23] Miura, R. M. Korteweg–de Vries equation and generalizations, I: a remarkable explicit nonlinear transformation. *J. Math. Phys.* **9** (1968), 1202–1204.
- [24] Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Factorization of Fredholm operators. (Ukrainian) *Mat. Stud.* **20** (2003), no. 2, 185–199.
- [25] Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Factorization of Fredholm operators in operator algebras. (Ukrainian) *Mat. Stud.* **21** (2004), no. 1, 87–97.
- [26] Savchuk A. M.; Shkalikov A. A. The Sturm–Liouville operators with distributional potential. *Trudy Mosk. Matem. Ob-va* **64** (2003), 159–212 (in Russian); Engl. transl.: *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* (2003), 143–192.
- [27] Wadati, M. The modified Korteweg–de Vries equation. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **34** (1973), no. 5, 1289–1296.
- [28] Zakharov, V. E.; Shabat, A. B. Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focussing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **61** (1971), 118–134 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Phys. JETP* **34** (1972), 62–69.
- [29] Zhou, X. L^2 -Sobolev space bijectivity of the scattering and inverse scattering transforms. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **51** (1998), 697–731.

(Hryniv) INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED PROBLEMS OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, 3B NAUKOVA ST., 79601 LVIV, UKRAINE AND DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 79602 LVIV, UKRAINE AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF RZESZÓW, 16 A REJTANA ST., 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: `rhryniv@iapmm.lviv.ua`

(Mykytyuk) DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 79602 LVIV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: `yamykytyuk@yahoo.com`

(Perry) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY, 40506-0027, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `perry@ms.uky.edu`